

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.tepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONTENT OF TON NO
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/589,895	01/23/2007	Yoshiyuki Sasaki	R2184.0527/P527	2816
24998 DICKSTEIN S	7590 04/27/200 SHAPIRO LLP	EXAMINER		
1825 EYE STI			GIESY, ADAM	
Washington, L	C 20006-5403		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2627	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/27/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/589,895	SASAKI, YOSHIYUKI	
Examiner	Art Unit	
ADAM R. GIESY	2627	

•	Examino	ALCO IIIC	1					
	ADAM R. GIESY	2627						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address								
THE REPLY FILED 07 April 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LLOWANCE.						
☑ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time								
periods:								
	a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later.							
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.								
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN T MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).								
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1							
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	shortened statutory period for reply origi than three months after the mailing dat	nally set in the final Office	e action; or (2) as					
	liance with 37 CFR 41 37 must be t	filed within two month	s of the date of					
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(a)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).								
AMENDMENTS	iami alo amo ponos sociorer in or	O. 1(-1 1.07 (u).						
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,			cause					
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co		ΓE below);						
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo		d atau an atau atte ta a						
 (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bel appeal; and/or 	ter form for appeal by materially rec	auding or simplifying t	ne issues for					
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.						
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1	16 and 41.33(a)).							
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1.	21. See attached Notice of Non-Cor	mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).					
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)	:							
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 	lowable if submitted in a separate, t	imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the					
 For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro- 		I be entered and an e	xplanation of					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:								
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:								
Claim(s) rejected: 1-19 and 21-34.								
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 								
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons with it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 430(1).								
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.					
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:								
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) 13. ☑ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u>								
/Wayne Young/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2627	/Adam R. Giesy/ Examiner, Art Unit 2627							

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Newly amended claims raise new issues that would change the scope of the invention previously claimed and prosecuted and would require further consideration and search.

Continuation of 13. Other: Examiner has considered the arguments posed by Applicant. Examiner asserts that the new claim language adds limitations to the claim that would change the scope of the claims and would require further search.

Furthermore, in response to Applicant's Arguments that the does manage the "AV data in File-A". Examiner responsefully disagrees. Examiner notes that this is a piecemeal analysis of the Ito reference. Examiner notes that Ito clearly describes real-time recording and skipping for the defective area during the recording of AV data (see column 12, lines 26-40). Examiner also notes that Applicant admits that no defect management is performed when AV data is recording in the Background Art section (see instant specification, page 3, line 21 thrupage 4, line 1).

Applicant's other areguments all seem to be the same as those recited with regard to the limitation from previously prosecuted claim 3. For at least the reasons discussed above, Examiner asserts that the proposed claim amendments do not place the application in condition for allowance and they require further search. Therefore, the proposed claim amendments are not entered.